



केंद्रीयकर: लेखापरीक्षा-II आयुक्तकाकार्यालय  
**OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF CENTRAL TAX  
AUDIT- II COMMISSIONERATE**

1-98/B/20, 21: सान्वीयमुनाप्राइड, कृतिकालेआउट, माधापुर, हाईटेकसिटी, हैदराबाद-500081  
1-98/B/20, 21: SANVI YAMUNA PRIDE, KRITHIKA LAYOUT, MADHAPUR,  
HITECH CITY,  
HYDERABAD -500081

**P R E A M B L E**

Under Section 19(1) of the Right to information Act, 2005 the applicant, if aggrieved by this order, can prefer an appeal within thirty days from the receipt of order to the First appellate authority i.e. Sri. S.Sathish Kumar, Joint Commissioner, Central Tax, Audit-II Commissionerate, Office of the Commissioner of Central Tax, Audit-II, Door No.1-98/B/20,21, Krithika Layout, Madhapur, Hi-tech City, Hyderabad – 500 081. सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम, 2005 की धारा 19(1) के तहत आवेदक, यदि इस आदेश से व्यथित है, तो आदेश की प्राप्ति से तीस दिनों के भीतर प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी के समक्ष अपील कर सकता है- श्री साहिल इनामदार, आयुक्त, केंद्रीय कर, ऑडिट-II आयुक्तालय, दरवाजा नंबर 1-98/बी/20,21, कृतिका लेआउट, माधापुर, हाईटेक सिटी, हैदराबाद-500081

Date: 29.08.2025

**ORDER NO.83/2025-RTI**

(Order Passed by Shri S. Suresh Kumar, Assistant Commissioner & CPIO,  
Audit-II Commissionerate, Hyderabad)

**SUB:** - RTI Act, 2005- Application filed by Shri K Sri Harsha, Flat No. 101, Swarganivas Enclave, East Srinivasa Nagar, Ameerpet, Hyderabad , Pin:500 038 – Reg.

# # #

RTI application with Registration No. **CECHZ/R/T/25/00378/4** dated **13/08/2025** was filed by Sri.**K. Sri Harsha** under Right to Information Act 2005, which was received online in this office. The said application was received in this office from the Chief Commissioner office,

Hyderabad Zone, directing us to provide information pertaining to the Audit -  
II Commissionerate directly to the Applicant.

In this regard, the reply to the RTI application is as under:

INFORMATION SOUGHT	REPLY
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• I am seeking information pertaining To Goods and Services Tax (GST) in relation to the queries detailed in the accompanying supporting document.</li></ul>	<p>The queries said to have been annexed to RTI are not enclosed. In the absence of said queries no information can be provided. Further to inform that, if the information pertain to any statute, Rules, Notifications, the same <del>may be in</del> available on website <a href="http://cbic.gov.in">cbic.gov.in</a>.</p>



(S. SURESH KUMAR)  
(ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER & CPIO)

To

1. Shri K Sri Harsha Flat No. 101, Swarganivas Enclave, East Srinivasa Nagar, Ameerpet, Hyderabad , Pin:500 038.  
(Mail-ID - [kanamaralapudisriharsha@gmail.com](mailto:kanamaralapudisriharsha@gmail.com))
2. Copy submitted to: The Assistant Commissioner of GST & Customs (CPIO)
3. Chief Commissioner Office, Hyderabad. (By [Email.ccehyd@gmail.com](mailto:Email.ccehyd@gmail.com))



केंद्रीयकर: लेखापरीक्षा-II आयुक्तकाकार्यालय

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF CENTRAL TAX  
AUDIT- II COMMISSIONERATE

1-98/B/20, 21: सान्वीयमुनाप्राइड, कृत्तिकालेआउट, माधापुर, हाईटेकसिटी, हैदराबाद-500081  
1-98/B/20, 21: SANVI YAMUNA PRIDE, KRITHIKA LAYOUT, MADHAPUR,  
HITECH CITY, HYDERABAD -500081

Date: 02.09.2025

### **P R E A M B L E**

Under Section 19(1) of the Right to information Act, 2005 the applicant, if aggrieved by this order, can prefer an appeal within 30 days from the receipt of order to the First appellate authority i.e. Sri. S.Sathish Kumar, Joint Commissioner, Central Tax, Audit-II Commissionerate, Office of the Commissioner of Central Tax (Audit-II), Door No.1-98/B/20,21, Krithika Layout, Madhapur, Hi-tech City, Hyderabad - 500 081.

सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम, 2005 की धारा 19(1) के तहत आवेदक, यदि इस आदेश से व्यथित है, तो आदेश की प्राप्ति से तीस दिनों के भीतर प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी के समक्ष अपील कर सकता है- श्री साहिल इनामदार, आयुक्त, केंद्रीय कर, ऑडिट-II आयुक्तालय, दरवाजा नंबर 1-98/बी/20,21, कृत्तिका लेआउट, माधापुर, हाईटेक सिटी, हैदराबाद-500081

### **ORDER NO.84/2025-RTI**

(Order Passed by Shri S. Suresh Kumar, Assistant Commissioner & CPIO, Audit-II Commissionerate, Hyderabad)

**SUB :-** RTI Act, 2005- Application filed by Shri Manoj Balkrishna Patil, Bungalow Number 10, East Street Camp, Next to Lashkar Police Quarters, Pune, Maharashtra. Pin: 411001- Reg.

###

Please refer to the following RTI applications filed by you under RTI Act, 2005 through online portal and transferred to this office under sub-section 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 for taking further action at this end:-

Sl.No	Registration Number	Date of filing
1	CECHZ/R/X/25/00026/6	05.08.2025
2	CECHZ/R/T/25/00371/6	12.08.2025

3	CECHZ/R/T/25/00377/6	13.08.2025
4	CECHZ/R/T/25/00386/6	14.08.2025
5	CECHZ/R/T/25/00384/6	14.08.2025
6	CECHZ/R/T/25/00037/6	18.08.2025
7	CECHZ/R/T/25/00039/6	18.08.2025
8	CECHZ/R/T/25/00038/6	18.08.2025
9	CECHZ/R/T/25/00394/7	21.08.2025
10	CECHZ/R/T/25/00408/7	26.08.2025
11	CECHZ/R/X/25/00416/7	27.08.2025

2. It is to inform that during the period 02.08.2025 to 31.08.2025, this office has received 20 RTI Applications out of which 11 were filed by you. However, it is noticed that you are filing RTI applications very frequently and it seems you are a habitual filer of applications under RTI Act with an intention to harass the Public Information Officers concerned and to waste their valuable time only. It is also observed that you are filing RTI applications pertaining to Central Board of Indirect Taxes and Customs regularly. As such, this office opines that you are filing RTI applications as an act of factional achievement with an aim to harass the officers of CBIC and ultimately drawing vicious pleasure by misusing the RTI Act.

3. Since you are repeatedly filing frivolous applications under the RTI Act, it is leading to wastage of public resources, public finance and diverting the precious man hours of the public officials. In other words, you are wasting man hours of One TA, One Superintendent and one Group 'A' officer daily who are being paid from the Public Money credited in the form of Taxes. Therefore, this office has proposed to avoid wastage of such man hours and to save the Public Money by rejecting your applications.

4. It is further informed that as per Section 7(9) of the RTI Act, 2005 "An information shall ordinarily be provided in the form in which it is sought unless it would disproportionately divert the resources of the public authority or would be detrimental to the safety or preservation of the record in question". In other words, if the application filed under RTI Act, 2005 leads to diversion of resources disproportionately, there is no need of furnishing the information. As you are filing the applications repeatedly and continuously targeting the CPIOs, this office has proposed to reject all your applications since the resources are being diverted considerably leading to

hitch of the regular & other productive work like collection of Revenue and arresting the leakage of Revenue. Another reason for proposal to reject your applications is the absence of "public interest" or "larger interest" or "larger public interest" in the information sought by you which otherwise appears to be vexatious.

5. It is in this context, I would like to bring to your notice that in the case of Jagdish Kumar Koli Vs Department of School Education & Literacy, MHRD, GOI, The CIC vide its Order No. CIC/SA/A/2015/001849 dated 25.02.2016 held that the appellant has sufficiently used the RTI Act for his self-interest for his personal vengeance against the public authority for denying him promotion/enhanced pay, without any public interest. Therefore, the Commission admonished the appellant for his misuse of the RTI Act just for sake of vengeance forcing them to devote all their valuable time, energy etc. The appellant chose to send e-mail directly to the Commission, after the hearing was over, which was also perused by the Commission and found no merit in the same. The Commission, therefore rejected that appeal with admonition. Since you have used the RTI Act sufficiently, more particularly to trouble the officers concerned and they are apparently frivolous, it is proposed to reject all your applications filed under RTI Act, 2005.

6. It is further brought to your notice that in the case of Ramesh Chand Jain Vs. Delhi Transport Corporation, the CIC vide its Order No. CIC/AD/A/2013/001326-SA dated 25.06.2014 held that if the applicant seeks the information again and again, the PIO, the First Appellate Authority and the Commission would be forced to spend their time on repeated applications, and in the process, the authorities would lose that much time to address other RTI Applications or performing their general duties in the public office. The repeated RTI applications will clog the office of the public authority and CPIO would be justified in refusing the same with intimation of reasons. Since your applications are preventing at least two officers continuously from performing their general or assigned-productive work, it is proposed to reject all your applications filed under RTI Act, 2005.

7. In addition to the above, it is apt to bring to your notice that in the case of Dr. R.S. Gupta Vs Govt of NCTD & Ors., the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide LPA No.207/2020 dated 31.08.2020 held that the disclosure of this information ex-facie has no relationship to any public activity or public interest and pertinently, the appellant is not able to explain or show any nexus between the personal information sought and the public interest involved, for seeking its disclosure. Thus, in our view, in absence of even a remote connection with the

larger public interest, disclosure of information would be exempted as the same would cause unwarranted invasion of privacy of the individual under Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act, 2005. Petitioner has failed to establish that the information sought for is for any public interest, much less "larger public interest". Therefore, we are not inclined to entertain this appeal. In terms of the cited decision also, this office has proposed to reject all your applications filed under RTI, Act 2005 as they did not contain any public interest or even a remote connection with any larger public interest.

8. Finally, it is pertinent to bring to your notice that in the case of Central Board of Secondary Education & Anr. Vs Aditya Bandopadhyay in Civil Appeal No. 6454 of 2011, the Hon'ble Supreme Court held that indiscriminate and impractical demands or directions under RTI Act for disclosure of all and sundry information(unrelated to transparency and accountability in the functioning of public authorities and eradication of corruption) would be counter-productive as it will adversely affect the efficiency of the administration and result in the executive getting bogged down with the non-productive work of collecting and furnishing information. The nation does not want a scenario where 75% of the staff of public authorities spend 75% of their time in collecting and furnishing information to applicants instead of discharging their regular Duties. The threat of penalties under the RTI Act and the pressure of the authorities under the RTI Act should not lead to employees of public authorities prioritizing "information furnishing", at the cost of their normal and regular duties. In terms of the cited Apex Court decision also this office has proposed to reject all your applications since this office is bogged down with the non-productive work of collection and furnishing information as sought by you vide series of applications filed continuously.

9) More specifically, it is opined that there is no merit in asking information repeatedly through different RTI applications by you since the applications filed by you continuously are leading to wastage of public resources, public finance and diverting the precious man hours of the public officials. Hence, no information to the RTI applications filed by you in future including the above RTI applications would be disclosed as per Section 7(9) of the RTI Act, 2005 as already discussed supra.

  
(S. SURESH KUMAR) 2/9/25

(ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER & CPIO)

To

1. Shri **Manoj Balkrishna Patil**, Bungalow Number 10, East Street Camp, Next to Lashkar Police Quarters, Pune, Maharashtra.  
Pin: 411001. (Mail-ID [patilmanojpm12@gmail.com](mailto:patilmanojpm12@gmail.com))
2. Copy submitted to: The Assistant Commissioner of GST & Customs (CPIO)
3. Chief Commissioner Office, Hyderabad. (By [Email.ccehyd@gmail.com](mailto:Email.ccehyd@gmail.com))



केंद्रीयकर: लेखापरीक्षा-II आयुक्तकाकार्यालय

**OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF CENTRAL TAX  
AUDIT- II COMMISSIONERATE**

1-98/B/20, 21: सान्वीयमुनाप्राइड, कृतिकालेआउट, माधापुर, हाईटेकसिटी, हैदराबाद-500081  
1-98/B/20, 21: SANVI YAMUNA PRIDE, KRITHIKA LAYOUT, MADHAPUR,  
HITECH CITY,  
HYDERABAD -500081

**P R E A M B L E**

Under Section 19(1) of the Right to information Act, 2005 the applicant, if aggrieved by this order, can prefer an appeal within thirty days from the receipt of order to the First appellate authority i.e. Sri. S.Sathish Kumar, Joint Commissioner, Central Tax, Audit-II Commissionerate, Office of the Commissioner of Central Tax, Audit-II, Door No.1-98/B/20,21, Krithika Layout, Madhapur, Hi-tech City, Hyderabad – 500 081. सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम, 2005 की धारा 19(1) के तहत आवेदक, यदि इस आदेश से व्यथित है, तो आदेश की प्राप्ति से तीस दिनों के भीतर प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी के समक्ष अपील कर सकता है- श्री साहिल इनामदार, आयुक्त, केंद्रीय कर, ऑडिट-II आयुक्तालय, दरवाजा नंबर 1-98/बी/20,21, कृतिका लेआउट, माधापुर, हाईटेक सिटी, हैदराबाद-500081

Date: .09.2025

**ORDER NO.85/2025-RTI**

(Order Passed by Shri S. Suresh Kumar, Assistant Commissioner & CPIO,  
Audit-II Commissionerate, Hyderabad)

**SUB:** - RTI Act, 2005- Application filed by Sri RAJESH KUMAR  
NIGAM 109/36 NEHRU NAGAR, RAMESH NIWAS, Above New  
Krishna Electronics, Pin:208012 – Reg.

# # #

RTI application with Registration No. **CECHZ/R/E/25/00119/7**  
dated **23/08/2025** was filed by Sri. **RAJESH KUMAR NIGAM** under Right to  
Information Act 2005, which was received online in this office. The said  
application was received in this office from the Chief Commissioner office,

Hyderabad Zone, directing us to provide information pertaining to the Audit -  
II Commissionerate directly to the Applicant.

In this regard, the reply to the RTI application is as under:

INFORMATION SOUGHT	REPLY
<p><b>1 Copy of order issued by Hon'ble Principal Chief Commissioner Hyderabad in compliance of order issued by Ministry of Finance F. No. as 21-04-2004 vide F. No. A-26017/65/2003-Ad.II-A(Pt) dt 11th May 2004 Department of Revenue, Central Board of Indirect Taxes &amp; Customs on the subject "Up gradation of Pay Scales of Inspectors, Preventive Officers and Examiners and Superintendents / Appraisers posted under Hyderabad Zone-regarding '.</b></p> <p><b>2. Copy of order issued by Hon'ble Principal Chief Commissioner Hyderabad in compliance of order issued by Ministry of Finance F. No. A-26017/50/2025-Ad.IIA dated 4th August 2025 , Department of Revenue, Central Board of Indirect Taxes &amp; Customs on the subject "Disposal of Representation on request for enhanced pay scale w.e.f.01- 01-1996 instead of 21-04-2004.</b></p> <p><b>3. Number of Inspectors, Preventive Officers and Examiners and Superintendents / Appraisers posted under Hyderabad Zone whose pay scale are revised w.e.f. 01-01-1996 and consequential payments are made.</b></p> <p><b>4. Number of Inspectors, Preventive Officers and Examiners and Superintendents / Appraisers posted under Hyderabad Zone whose pay scale are</b></p>	<p><b>PLEASE FIND THE FILE ATTACHED</b></p>

revised w.e.f. 21-04-2004 in terms of circular mentioned at Sl no 1 above and consequential payments are made.

  
(S. SURESH KUMAR)

(ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER & CPIO)

To

1. Sri RAJESH KUMAR NIGAM 109/36 NEHRU NAGAR, RAMESH NIWAS, Above New Krishna Electronics, Pin:208012.  
(Mail-ID - [rknigam14@gmail.com](mailto:rknigam14@gmail.com))
2. Copy submitted to: The Assistant Commissioner of GST & Customs (CPIO)
3. Chief Commissioner Office, Hyderabad. (By [Email.ccehyd@gmail.com](mailto:Email.ccehyd@gmail.com))



*Revised*

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF CENTRAL TAX,  
केन्द्रीय कर के आयुक्त का कार्यालय  
लेखापरीक्षा -II आयुक्तालय: AUDIT-II COMMISSIONERATE  
Door No.1-98/B/20,21 Sanvi Yamuna Pride, Krithika Layout  
Madhapur, Hitech City:: HYDERABAD-500081

C.No. II/39/07/2020-Accts

Date: 11.09.2025

To,  
Assistant Commissioner,  
Technical Section,  
Hyderabad Audit II Commissionerate  
Hyderabad.

Sir,

Sub: RTI CECHZ/R/E/25/00119/7 adted 23.08.2025 in r/o Shri Rajesh Kumar Nigam  
under RTI Act 2005- Reg.

\*\*\*\*\*

Please refer to your e-mail dated 03.09.2025 on the subject matter cited above.

2. In this regard, the point wise reply is here under:

1) NA

2) NA

3) No. of officers in r/o Audit II Commissionerate whose Pay scales have been revised  
wef 01.01.1996 – 63 (Arrears of 18 officers have been paid wef 21.04.2004 only).

4) No. of officers in r/o Audit II Commissionerate whose Pay scales have been revised  
wef 21.04.2004 - Nil

Yours faithfully,

*(Signature)*  
11/9/2025

(G.V. SATHYAVATI)  
ASST. CHIEF ACCOUNTS OFFICER  
सहायक मुख्य लेखा अधिकारी  
Asst. Chief Accounts Officer  
केन्द्रीय कर के आयुक्त का कार्यालय  
Office of the Commissioner of Central Tax  
हैदराबाद-II लेखापरीक्षा आयुक्तालय  
Hyderabad-II Audit Commissionerate  
हैदराबाद/Hyderabad-500 081