

केन्द्रीयकरएवं केंद्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क आयुक्तकाकार्यालय OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF CENTRAL TAX & CENTRAL EXCISE

सिकंदराबाद जीएसटी आयुक्तालय : SECUNDERABAD GST COMMISSIONERATE

जीएसटीभवन :बशीरबाग :हैदराबाद-५०००४

GST Bhavan: Basheerbagh: Hyderabad-500 004

PREAMBLE

Under Section 19 (1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005, the applicant, if aggrieved by this order, can prefer an appeal within **thirty days (30 days) from the receipt of this order** to the Appellate Authority i.e. Shri V.Vasudha Prasada Rao, Additional Commissioner, Central Tax, Secunderabad Commissionerate, GST Bhavan, L.B. Stadium Road, Basheerbagh, Hyderabad – 500 004 (Tel No. 040-23243222 within 30 days from the date of receipt of this order.

C.No. IV/16/03/2021-RTI (Tech)

नांक Date:

.01.2021

आदेश संख्या 02/2021 - आर.टी.आई

(श्री गौतम मुखर्जी, सहायक आयुक्त, कें.लो.सू.अ, केंद्रीय कर आयुक्त का कार्यालय, सिकंदराबाद जीएसटी आयुक्तालय, हैदराबाद क्षेत्र के द्वारा पारित आदेश।)

Order No. 02/2021-RTI

(Order passed by Shri. Gautam Mukherjee, Assistant Commissioner, CPIO, Office of the Commissioner of Central Tax, Secunderabad GST Commissionerate, Hyderabad Zone.)

Ref: RTI Act, 2005– RTI Application No. CECHZ/R/E/20/00158/1, dated 26.12.2020 filed by Shri CA Ajay Kumar Mundada – Reg.

Please refer to the RTI application in Registration number CECHZ/R/E/20/00158/1, dated 26.12.2020 filed by you. In this regard, reply to the query is furnished here under;

- Q Share the list of assessees for which the GST registration was cancelled for non filing of returns along with the clients email id & phone no. at the earliest.
 - The information sought for cannot be provided in terms of provisions of Section 8(1)(j) of RTI Act, 2005, since the information sought relates to personal information the disclosure of which has no relationship to any public activity or interest, or which would cause unwarranted invasion of the privacy of the individual.
 - Further there is no disclosure of interest in the information sought by the applicant. In this regard, I rely and draw support from the decision rendered by Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, reported in W.P.(C)7976/2020 dt.12.01.2021 (Har Kishan vs President Secretariatt) wherein it was held that disclosure of an interest in the information sought would be necessary to establish the bonafides of the applicant. Non-disclosure of the same could result in injustice to several other affected persons, whose information is sought.

(GAUTAM MUKHERJEE) (गौतम मुखर्जी, (सीपीऐओ) ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER (CPIO)

Jar 35.1.21

To Shri CA Ajay Kumar Mundada 15-7-492, Poonam Complex, Begum Bazar Hyderabad – 500 012. Telangana

Copy to:

The Nodal Officer RTI, Chief Commissioner's Office, Hyderabad Zone.